



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,991	3,991 07/08/2003		Yoshikazu Watanabe	1046.1295	6252
21171	7590	07/11/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY	/ LLP	BRINEY III, WALTER F		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING		•	2615		

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٥	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/613,991	WATANABE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Walter F. Briney III	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Mi 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-9 and 11-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-9 and 13-15 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sudo et al. (US Patent 6,223,058) in view of the Motorola Timeport 270c wireless telephone user's manual (Copyright 2001 Motorola, Inc., herein "the 270c manual").

Claim 11 is limited to "a storage medium readable by machine tangibly embodying an operation content notifying program of instructions executable by the machine to perform a method." This claim is rejected for the same reasons set forth in the Non-Final Rejection filed 25 January 2006 as well as the reasons below. The applicant has currently amended this claim to recite, *inter alia*, "notifying of the operation content causing an output in accordance with a measured result by an alarm [[when]] if the operation content is set to a maximum or minimum at a predetermined time." The word "if" implies causality whereas the word "when" may more broadly describe mere circumstance. However, it was shown in the previous rejection (filed 25 January 2006) that when an alarm is generated with either maximum and minimum settings, an alarm will be generated in accordance with the maximum and minimum settings. The 270c manual teaches setting volume to a maximum or minimum, and thus, teaches the

Art Unit: 2615

phrase "is set to" as recited. Therefore, Sudo in view of the 270c manual makes obvious all limitations of the claim.

Claim 12 is limited to "a method of an operation content notifying" that recites essentially the same steps as claim 11. Therefore, Sudo in view of the 270c manual makes obvious all limitations of the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

2. Claims 3-9 and 13-15 are allowed.

Claim 3 is limited to "an information processing terminal." The applicant has amended this claim to recite "when a rotational volume from said output unit is not set to a maximum or minimum at said predetermined, said operation content notifying unit does not notify the content of the operation result." The claim further recite "notifying... by an alarm that a rotational volume from said output unit is a maximum or minimum." Together, this means that an alarm is generated only when volume is set to a maximum or a minimum. This is in contrast to the 270c manual that generates an alarm based on any volume of the ringer between a minimum and maximum, inclusive. Thus, claim 3 is allowable over the cited prior art.

Claims 4-9 and 13-15 are limited in part to "an information processing terminal according to claim 3," and thus, are allowable over the cited prior art for at least the same reasons.

Art Unit: 2615

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter F. Briney III whose telephone number is 571- 272-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,991 Page 5

Art Unit: 2615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WFB

SINHTRAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER